UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In Re: Sucarol Elliott,

Case No. 05-89003

Chapter 13

Debtor.

Hon: Thomas J. Tucker

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING, WITHOUT PREJUDICE

The Debtor filed this Chapter 13 case on October 26, 2005. According to Debtor, she had one

previous bankruptcy case pending within the last year, a Chapter 13 case that was dismissed on September

23, 2005. On November 4, 2005, Debtor filed a motion to continue the automatic stay beyond the initial

30-day stay period, under 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B)(Docket #9). Also on November 4, 2005, Debtor filed a

motion for expedited hearing, entitled "Application for Expedited Hearing Regarding Debtor's Application

for Continuation of the Automatic Stay" (Docket #10).

Debtor's motion for expedited hearing must be denied as unnecessary, and because it is not the

proper procedure for obtaining an expedited hearing on a motion to extend the stay under

§ 362(c)(3)(B). The proper procedure is set forth in this Court's Local Rule 4001-6(a), which provides:

(a) Motion to Extend the Stay. The deadline to file and serve a motion to extend the stay

under 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(B) is 7 days after the petition is filed. Immediately after filing the motion, the movant shall obtain a hearing date from the judge's courtroom deputy clerk, who will cause notice of the hearing to be served on all parties in

interest. Any party in interest may be heard at the hearing. Written objections are permitted

but not required.

(emphasis added). Debtor must follow this procedure to obtain a hearing, but has not yet done so.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Debtors' "Application for Expedited Hearing Regarding Debtor's

Application for Continuation of the Automatic Stay," filed November 4, 2005 (Docket #10), is DENIED,

without prejudice.

Date: November 7, 2005

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker

Thomas J. Tucker

United States Bankruptcy Judge

cc: Jack Berman

Tammy L. Terry, Trustee

<sup>1</sup> Citations to the Bankruptcy Code in this Order, therefore, are to the Code as amended by the "Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005."